EXHIBIT B

CASE 0:15-md-02666-JNE-DTS Doc. 798-2 Filed 09/12/17 Page 2 of 6

CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In Re:

Bair Hugger Forced Air Warming Products Liability Litigation

This Document Relates To:

All Actions

MDL No. 15-2666 (JNE/FLM)

DEPOSITION OF ALBERT P. VAN DUREN

VOLUME I, PAGES 1 - 326

MARCH 7, 2017

(The following is the deposition of ALBERT P. VAN DUREN, taken pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, via videotape, at the offices of Ciresi Conlin L.L.P., 225 South 6th Street, Suite 4600, Minneapolis, Minnesota, commencing at approximately 9:00 o'clock a.m., March 7, 2017.)

ļ			
		Page	2
1	APPEARANCES:		
2	On Behalf of the Plaintiffs:		
3	Mark D. Bankston		
4	KASTER, LYNCH, FARRAR & BALL LLP 1010 Lamar, Suite 1600 Houston, Texas 77002		
5	Genevieve M. Zimmerman		
6	MESHBESHER & SPENCE, LTD. 1616 Park Avenue		
7	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55404		
8	Gabriel Assaad KENNEDY HODGES		
9	4409 Montrose Boulevard, Suite 200 Houston, Texas 77006		
10	Michael A. Sacchet		
11	CIRESI CONLIN L.L.P. 225 South 6th Street, Suite 4600		
12	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402		
13	On Behalf of Defendants:		
14	Jerry W. Blackwell and Peter J. Goss BLACKWELL BURKE P.A.		
15	432 South Seventh Street, Suite 2500 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415		
16	ALSO APPEARING:		
17	Ryan M. Stirewalt, Videographer		
18	ryan m. burreward, videographer		:
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	Page 4
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Witness sworn.)
3	ALBERT P. VAN DUREN
4	called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
5	was examined and testified as follows:
6	ADVERSE EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. BANKSTON:
8	Q. Good morning, Mr. Van Duren.
9	A. Good morning.
10	Q. We're going to skip some of the formalities
11	because I know you've been in that chair before, done
12	some depositions, so we won't go over all of that
13	today; I'm sure you're up to speed. But before we
14	dive in, I did want to talk to you, make sure that you
15	understood exactly what kind of deposition it is we're
16	taking today, and and by that I mean that today you
17	are appearing as a corporate representative for 3M.
18	Do you feel like you have an understanding of what
19	that is and what your purpose is here today?
20	A. I believe so.
21	Q. Okay. I'm going to be asking you questions,
22	and in response to these questions today you're going
23	to be giving testimony as though you're the voice of
24	3M. Obviously, I can't put 3M in that chair, so
25	somebody has to be chosen. I've been informed that

Page 90

- 1 Bair Hugger.
- 2 MR. BLACKWELL: I object to the form of the
- 3 question.
- 4 A. Well I -- I --
- 5 The company certainly had an indication that
- 6 it was important to the customers regarding the level
- 7 of particulate loading that might occur from a
- 8 forced-air warming unit.
- 9 Q. Okay. Now part of the reason that dictated
- 10 a choice of filter in the model 750 was an airflow
- 11 concern; correct?
- 12 A. Part of what, yes.
- 13 Q. In fact, it was a goal of the project of the
- 14 750 to create a device which delivered more air than
- 15 the previous device.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. So the air-output specifications of
- 18 the unit changed and that in turn dictated some of the
- 19 choice for the filter.
- 20 A. One -- one of the many design considerations
- 21 that dictated that, yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. Before the 750 was ever released and
- 23 sold and used on a patient, what was done to ensure
- 24 that that change in air out -- output had no adverse
- 25 effect on airborne contamination issues?

Page 258

- 1 coming from. Okay? Because particles are all over
- 2 the operating room and underneath the operating room
- 3 table and everywhere. Do you agree?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. Based on the data that we have today,
- 6 including the study funded by 3M as well as other
- 7 studies, every single study indicates that the Bair
- 8 Hugger increases the particle count over the sterile
- 9 field; correct?
- 10 A. In absolute numbers, yes.
- 11 Q. Yes. Okay. And you have no internal
- 12 studies to refute that; correct?
- A. No, we don't.
- 14 Q. What's defendants' knowledge and analysis of
- 15 third-party testing regarding whether or not the Bair
- 16 Hugger causes surgical-site infection?
- 17 A. Well again, the analysis that I showed you
- 18 that was done with the CDC data, for example. And the
- 19 secular trend of deep joint infection over the last
- 20 decade or so has generally declined in hip and knee
- 21 implant surgery, so at a -- at a macro level there
- doesn't appear to be an increase in the number of
- 23 these infections despite the fact that patients are
- 24 generally older and sicker and there are more of them
- 25 now than there were a decade ago.